

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO				mr
L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/551,973 04/14/00 WESTFIELD			В	830053.410
	000000			EXAMINER	
	000500		IM52/0425		
	SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLL			BECKER_D	
	701 FIFTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 6300			-	5
	SEATTLE WA	98104-709	2	1761	J
				DATE MAILED:	
	•				04/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.						
~ **	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/551,973	WESTFIELD, BARBARA					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Drew E Becker	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any - Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>pril 2000</u> .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊟–The-specification-is-objected-to-by-the-Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		oved.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	oriority under 25 U.S.O. 5 440(a)	(-I) (O					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
· -							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
attachment(s)							
5) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
6)	19) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)					
morniation disclosure statement(s) (F10-1449) Paper No(s)	20)						

.. Application/Control Number: 09/551,973

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8-9, 11, 13-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barradas [Pat. No. 5,445,061].

Barradas teaches a device and method for making bread comprising a baking chamber (Figure 5, 12), a frame with a base member coupled to a bottom region of the baking chamber (Figure 5, #48, 54, 56), vertically spaced removable trays (Figure 4, #41; Figure 5, #58, 60, 62), a source of heat (column 2, line 45), a container for bread making ingredients (Figure 2, 24), a mixing motor (Figure 2, 14), and mixing the ingredients in the container to form a dough, dividing the dough into portions, and placing the portions into trays (column 2, lines 57-70).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/551,973

Art Unit: 1761

4. Claims 2, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barradas.

Barradas teaches the above mentioned concepts as well as racks with holes (Figure 4, 48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide trays with holes in the invention of Barradas since Barradas teaches holes in the racks (Figure 4, 48) and since trays with holes were commonly known and utilized as evidenced by Harrison [Pat. No. 5,588,352] (Figure 6, 36).

- 5. Claims 5, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barradas in view of Smith-Berry et al [Pat. No. 5,890,421].
- Barradas teaches the above mentioned concepts. Barradas does not teach a handle. Smith-Berry et al teach a bread oven with a rack which has a handle (Figure 1, 30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the handle of Smith-Berry et al into the invention of Barradas since both are directed to bread machines with racks and since the handle taught by Smith-Berry et al would provide an effective and convenient means to remove the racks of Barradas while reducing the chance of burning or injuring the operator.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Friedrich et al [Pat. No. 5,228,385], Glucksman et al [Pat. No. 5,901,637], Chadwick et al [Pat. No. 2,671,004], Cohn et al [Pat. No. 5,782,174], and Kelsey [Pat. No. 519,580] teach racks and bread making machines.

Application/Control Number: 09/551,973 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew Becker April 11, 2001

> KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER